UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,662	01/24/2007	Joseph Shamir	13599/1	2928
26646 KENYON & K	7590 10/01/200 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADY		TON, TRI T		
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,662	SHAMIR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	TRI T. TON	2877			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim 11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 12 cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ja 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 65-67 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38-64 and 68-72 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 45 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 06 January 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	election requirement.  c. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)⊠ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	ammer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/21/09, 08/22/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/563,662 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 01/21/09, 08/22/06 have been entered. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Oath/Declaration

3. The Oath and Declaration filed on 01/24/2007 is acceptable.

#### Abstract

4. The abstract filed on 01/06/2006 is objected because the abstract contains more than 150 words.

# **Drawings**

5. The drawings filed on 01/06/2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,662 Page 3

Art Unit: 2877

# Specification

## Claim Objections

6. Claim 45 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because it depends on cancelled claim 37.

# The examiner respectfully suggests revision as follow:

Claim 45. A method according to claim [37] 38, wherein the Gaussian beam is spatially modulated.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

7. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

8. Claims 38-64, 68-72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims are drawn to a method of particle size and concentration measurement. The method is abstract instructions. Therefore, the method of particle size and concentration measurement is not a physical thing nor a process as they are not "acts" being performed. As such, these claims are not directed to one of the statutory categories of invention (See MPEP 2106.01), but are directed to nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

It is noted that method of particle size and concentration measurement embodied on an apparatus or other structure, which would permit the functionality of the program to be realized,

would be directed to a product and be within a statutory category of invention, so long as the apparatus is not disclosed as non-statutory subject matter per se (signals or carrier waves).

In other words, the method needs a physical transform would permit the functionality of the program to be realized, and the method needs to tide to an apparatus to transform the steps "providing, measuring, causing, using".

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 38-64, 68-72 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) and rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 101, set forth in this Office action.
- 10. Claims 65-67 are allowed.
- 11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: there was no prior art found by the examiner that suggested modification or combination with the cited art so as to satisfy the combination of all the limitations in claims 38 and 65.
- 12. As claim 38, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious "providing a focused, synthesized, non-Gaussian laser beam: causing said beam to interact with said particles; wherein said focused, synthesized, non-Gaussian laser beam is a dark beam", (applicants' specification page 5, lines 4-6 and page 12, lines 15-16, defines a dark beam is a laser beam that has a dark spot or line at the center of a beam with an otherwise typically Gausian envelop), in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 38.
- 13. As claim 65, the prior art of record taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious "the focused, synthesized, non-Gaussian laser beam is a dark beam and means for converting said focused, synthesized, non-Gaussian laser beam are chosen from the following

Art Unit: 2877

group: a combination of a spatial filter and a lens; and a liquid crystal device.", (applicants' specification page 5, lines 4-6 and page 12, lines 15-16, defines a dark beam is a laser beam that has a dark spot or line at the center of a beam with an otherwise typically Gausian envelop), in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim 65.

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The reference of Jones, A.R., "Light scattering for particle characterization," Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 1999, pages 1-53 discloses the fractal treatment of agglomerates, determination of particle shape and measurement of refractive index. However, it does not teach the using of *non-Gaussian laser beam and dark beam*.

The reference of Lin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,806,774), the reference of Turkevich et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,063, 301), and the reference of Holcomb (U.S. Patent No. 6,084,671), these references do not teach the using of *non-Gaussian laser beam and dark beam*.

# Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri T. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-9064. The examiner can normally be reached on 10:30am - 7:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,662 Page 6

Art Unit: 2877

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./
Supervisory Patent Examiner,
Art Unit 2877
1 October 2009

September 18, 2009

Examiner /TTT/